UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VOICEBOX CR	EATIVE, INC.	
		CASE NO. 07-05669 MHP
•	Plaintiff(s),	•
KNAPP FARMS	v. 3, INC.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	<i>1</i>
		met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the	following ADR process:
(Note: Partie appreciably n ADR phone	Mediation (ADR L.R. s who believe that an eanore likely to meet their conference and may not	on (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) 6) rly settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
	ite Process:	cal Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) dentify process and provider) Mediation
The parties will select a private mediator knowledgeable in trademark law.		
The parties a		ine (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order n ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	omor roquosiou doudin	
Dated: 2/	6/08	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 2/	6/03	Attorney for Defendant